Number of employers

This number represents those employers who were assigned to the MCO as of Dec. 31, 2000.

Number of claims

This represents the total number of new claims assigned to the MCO with dates of injury and BWC filing dates between March 1, 1997, and Dec. 31, 2000. Since claims are filed constantly, this number will continuously increase.

First report of injury (FROI) timing
The sooner a claim is filed, the sooner medical treatment and benefits can be delivered, and the quicker an injured worker can safely return to work. FROI timing is the average of the number of days between the date of injury and the date the claim was filed with BWC. The average is based on claims with dates of injury between March 1, 1997, and Dec. 31, 2000, and BWC filing dates between Jan. 1, 2000, and Dec. 31, 2000, with the 5 percent of claims with the longest lags removed.

This measure is a reflection of how long it takes BWC to be notified of an alleged claim. It includes the time from the date of injury to when the employer, injured worker or provider notifies the MCO and the time from when the MCO is notified to the time the claim is filed with BWC.

Degree of disability management (DoDM)
The DoDM model measures the individual return-to-work outcomes of each MCO. It measures return to work by looking at the injury(s) and occupation of the injured worker. For example, a construction worker who breaks a leg is expected to be off work longer than an office worker because of how the injury relates to his or her job.

DoDM compares the actual time lost against BWC benchmarks. These benchmarks are identified as loosely managed and well managed. DoDM only counts injured workers that remain at work for 90 days or more after returning to work from an injury. An MCO's individual DoDM score is based on the MCO's progress from its loosely-managed benchmark toward its well-managed benchmark.

According to the MCO Report Card, all MCOs have met the minimum benchmark set by BWC.

Employer satisfactionAn independent consultant surveyed employers who have injured workers with claims filed between language and March 2001 Jan. 1, 2000, and Sept. 30, 2000. The survéy was conducted between January and March 2001. Employers answered five questions ranking customer satisfaction using the scale below. MCO employer satisfaction is the composite score from the questions below.

The respondents used the following scale:

5 – Very satisfied

4 – Satisfied

3 – Somewhat satisfied

2-Unsatisfied

1 – Very unsatisfied

Questions

How satisfied are you with the following areas of service provided by your MCO?

1. The service received from your MCO.

2. The medical management provided to your employees by your MCO.

3. Your ability to contact your MCO when needed.

4. The quality and quantity of the educational, training and other materials received from your MCO.

5. Your MCO's effort to provide appropriate early return to work and rehabilitation strategies.

5.0 is the highest score an MCO can achieve.

Injured-worker satisfaction

An independent consultant surveyed injured workers with claims filed between Jan. 1, 2000, and Sept. 30, 2000. The survey was conducted between January and March 2001. Injured workers answered seven questions ranking customer satisfaction using the scale below. MCO injured worker satisfaction is the composite score from the questions below.

The respondents used the following scale:

5 – Very satisfied

4 – Satisfied

3 – Somewhat satisfied

2 – Unsatisfied

1 - Very unsatisfied

How satisfied are you with the following aspects of service related to your workplace injury?

1. The information you have received from your MCO about how BWC's managed care plan works.

2. The choice of doctors available to you after you were injured.

3. The service you received from your MCO such as treatment approach and quick responses to requests.

4. Your ability to contact your MCO when needed.

5. The payment of your medical bills.

6. The medical treatment and medications you have been receiving since your injury.

7. Are you currently back to work.

If yes: How satisfied were you with efforts to help you return to work or to receive rehabilitation services?

If no: How satisfied are you with efforts under way to help you return to work or to receive rehabilitation services?

5.0 is the highest score an MCO can achieve.



Administrator/CEO Jim Conrad



To help employers evaluate the performance of managed care organizations (MCOs) participating in the Health Partnership Program (HPP), the Ohio Bureau of Workers' compensation (BWC) has created the MCO Report Card. The Report Card measures components contributing to the quality of health-care services, timeliness of service and customer satisfaction.

The components are first report of injury (FROI) timing, degree of disability management (DoDM), employer satisfaction and injured worker satisfaction. Each of the components and how the MCO was measured are described on the back page. BWC also has included the number of employers and number of claims filed during the time period noted in the definitions on the back page.

For more information about how to select an MCO or the MCO Report Card, call 1-800-0HI0BWC or visit us on the Web at www.ohiobwc.com.



Since BWC implemented HPP, claims filing delay has dropped from 45 days to 11 days. Filing a claim early means injured workers get quicker access to health care and return to work sooner. National statistics point to early claims management as a key to reducing associated workers' compensation costs.

1-800-OHIOBWC www.ohiobwc.com

Donort Co.	rd	Number of claims Degree of disability management Loosely managed Loosely managed			Better Workers' Compensation Built with you in mind.		
Report Ca		Irst Tepor	COP	7/5 3h:	Enn,	Pilifed Morker Satisfaction	
2001	hnber of employers	Oring		Mity Man	20/6	Tured Morker Satisfaction	
	Noloyers	r clains	timing Loose manag	ly. "General	Well	"Sfaction" Sfac	tion
Wico average scores			10.70	ea ·	managed 	4.10	3.07
1-888-OHIOCOMP	4,867	16,368	11.36			3.93	3.89
3-Hab	3,585	7,718	11.18			4.24	3.90
Advocare	5,079	6,372	12.58			4.02	3.91
ALPS CompCare	4,833	2,697	14.50			4.19	3.98
AultComp	4,301	13,586	8.32			4.23	4.04
AVATAR COMP	8,943	5,924	17.20			4.01	3.79
CareWorks	51,586	291,200	10.25			4.09	3.91
CompManagement Health Systems	24,600	118,276	11.44			4.10	3.88
Comprehensive Medical Care	5,475	2,632	17.42			3.99	3.98
Corvel Corporation	8,974	41,805	10.00			4.11	3.79
CRA Managed Care	6,964	19,507	12.93			4.02	3.86
Crawford & Company	5,435	6,598	14.09			4.07	3.97
Family Health Plan	2,562	7,953	10.79			4.21	4.06
Gates McDonald Health Plus	54,222	136,956	11.34			4.17	3.95
GENEX Care for Ohio	5,171	4,831	12.29			4.00	3.73
Health Management Solutions	12,142	15,410	12.53			3.92	3.84
Integrated Comp	25,473	70,893	11.12			4.01	3.82
Klais & Company	4,729	3,033	14.17			4.05	4.06

		^.	D _C O _{TR}	
Nymbo	Numbers Numbers	Fist Coort of 11	Nu _r	osely managed Well managed 4.10 3.89
MCO average scores	Oyers	Tains	10.96 Lo	posely Well managed 4.10 3.89
Managed Medical Assurance Co. Ltd.	4,322	2,448	18.37	4.14 3.89
Medical Administrators Inc.	7,389	13,389	9.74	4.23 3.83
Occupational Health Management Inc.	978	255	18.37	4.23 3.90
Ohio CompChoice Inc.	1,534	2,578	17.36	4.02 3.91
Ohio Employee Health Partnership	7,954	31,392	9.73	4.02 3.82
Paramount Preferred Network	2,288	8,402	11.27	4.05 3.77
Premier Comp of Hometown Health Network	6,272	10,131	9.49	4.23 3.88
Premier Managed Care Inc.	5,517	9,653	11.72	4.06 4.00
Protegrity Services Inc.	8,109	10,206	14.71	3.92 3.78
Sheakley UNICOMP	33,170	134,233	10.77	4.15 3.76
Solidarity Managed Care	0	0	0.00	NA NA* NA*
SummitComp	1,765	3,410	8.25	4.36 3.97
Team Health	1,359	2,500	10.93	4.24 4.09
The Health Plan	2,077	3,142	9.44	4.21 4.13
Total Health Management	5,884	5,680	11.38	4.17 4.00
University Comp Care	10,868	26,409	9.13	4.23 3.95
Vantage Occupational Health Plan	2,805	5,406	11.18	4.12 3.96

^{*} Solidarity Managed Care Organization had no employers assigned to them on 12/31/2000 and has no scores for the 2000 Report Card.